# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

RECEIVED

0 2 AUG 2004

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

**WIPO** 

PCT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	CTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/		
60021-375730	International filing date (day/mo	onth/year) Priority date (day/month/year)		
International application No.	International ming date (day/mo	manyear)		
PCT/US02/25488	09 August 2002 (09.08.2002)	13 August 2001 (13.08.2001)		
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or national classification and IPC			
IPC(7): G06F 17/60, G06F 3/00, G09B	19/00 and US Cl.: 345/854, 434/	350, 705/8, 705/26, 705/35, 705/36, 706/45		
Applicant				
ACCENTURE LLP				
<ol> <li>This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</li> <li>This REPORT consists of a total of sheets, including this cover sheet.</li> </ol>				
2. This REPORT consists of	a total of $\underline{\smile}$ sheets, including	g this cover sheet.	Ì	
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).				
These annexes consist of				
3. This report contains indic	ations relating to the following	g items:		
I Basis of the report				
II Priority				
III Non-establishm	nent of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
IV Lack of unity of	of invention			
V Reasoned states applicability; c	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
VI Certain docume	ents cited			
VII Certain defects				
VIII Certain observa	VIII Certain observations on the international application			
Data of submission of the demand	I Dat	te of completion of this report		
Date of submission of the demand		to or completion or and report		
12 March 2003 (12.03.2003)		19 May 2004 (19.05.2004)		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US		fiorized officer		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents	da	gesh C. Garg		
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Λ			
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230  Telephone No. 703-306-0252				

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(July 1998)

International application No.	
PCT/US02/25488	

T.	Basis	s of the report	
		regard to the elements of the international application:*	
٠.	$\square$	the international application as originally filed.	
	$\bowtie$	the description:	
		pages 1-20 as originally filed	İ
	t	pages NONE filed with the demand	
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of	
	$\boxtimes$	the claims:	
		pages 21-24 , as originally filed	
		pages NONE , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19 pages NONE , filed with the demand	1
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of	
	$\square$		
		the drawings: pages 1-14, as originally filed	
		pages NONE filed with the demand	
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of	j
		the sequence listing part of the description:	Ì
	اسسا	pages NONE , as originally filed pages NONE , filed with the demand	
		pages NONE, filed with the demand	
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of	
2.	With	h regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the uage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	
	Thes	se elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:	1
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).	
	H	the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).	
	H	the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under	Rules
	لـــا	55.2 and/or 55.3).	
3	Wit	th regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the	
٠.	inte	rnational preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:	
		contained in the international application in printed form.	
	Ħ	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	:
	片	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.	•
	H	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.	
	$\vdash$	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure	in the
		international application as filed has been furnished.	
			e listing
	L	The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence	·,
		has been furnished.	
4.	. []	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
		the description, pages NONE	•
		the claims, Nos. NONE	•
		the drawings, sheets/fig NONE	
5.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered	to go
		beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**	
*	Repla	acement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are refer	red to in 17)
th *	is repe	ort as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70 replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.	/ / .
	Auty I	reputedition direct community and animalism and animalism and animalism and animalism and animalism animalism and animalism and animalism animalism and animalism anim	

International application No. PCT/US02/25488

V.	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(i citations and explanations supporting suc	ii) with regard ch statement	to novelty, inventive step or indu	strial applicability;
1.	STATEMENT			
	Novelty (N)	Claims (	5-7, 14-15, & 22-23	YES
	110,210, (17)	Claims 2	1-5, 8-13, 16-21 & 24	NO
	Inventive Step (IS)	-	5-7,14-15, &22-23	YES NO
		Claims 1	1-5, 8-13, 16-21 & 24	
	Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 3	1-24	YES
		Claims ]	NONE	NO
2	CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS			

Please See Continuation Sheet

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box V) (July 1998)

International application No. PCT/US02/25488

~		-
Suppl	emental	ROX

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

1. Claims 1-5, 8, 9-13, 16, 17-21, and 24 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Press release, "Kana and Webline Team to Provide Industry's Most Comprehensive Online Customer Interaction Solution "; Business Wire; New York; Apr 20, 1999, pages 1-3, extracted on Internet from <a href="http://proquest.umi.com">http://proquest.umi.com</a> on 03/04/2003, hereinafter, referred to as Webline.

Regarding claims 1-5, and 8, Webline teaches a method for providing a communication medium over a wide area network, including the Internet, for a financial modeling and counseling system comprising: providing automated financial coaching in a web-based environment; displaying to a user a plurality of communication options with a live advisor in said web page environment; and enabling a communication medium between said user and a live advisor based on the user selected communication option whereby said live advisor may provide live financial coaching in a collaborative medium for sharing data between said user and a live advisor upon the selection of a communication option from electronic mail, online chat, voice or talk with stand alone telephone options

(The applicant's claims are directed to establishing a variety of automated communication options, in a web-based environment, between user and live advisor to provide financial coaching and Webline supported by Press release, "Webline Communications' Products Selected By Trimark Investments To Increase Service On financial Adviser Web Site "; Business Wire; March 22, 1999, extracted on Internet from Dialog database on 02/26/2003 and combined with Schileru-Key discloses the recited limitations (see at least Webline, page 2, under the head, "About the WebLine Solution ...... The Webline Collaboration Server enables company representatives to share information with customers over the Web....while conducting a voice conversation or text chat....integrating multimedia types-including PSTN calls, interactive Web sessions, e-mail, text chat and voice-over-IP calls----", and head, " About WebLine Communications.....Customers include .....financial services....such as Putnam Investments, Trimark Investment management Inc......"). As per the disclosure in Webline that Trimark Investment management uses Webline software a detail enabling disclosure is presented in the Press release, wherein it is explicitly shown/suggested that Trimark, while using Webline software provides financial coaching (see press release, page 1, "....Trimark is committed to providing leading-edge customer service in the financial services industry....To enable this new real-time service option. Trimark has implemented Webline Communications' Collaboration

International application No. PCT/US02/25488

Supp	lem	ental	Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Server......The Webline Collaboration Server enables Trimark representatives to share information with financial advisers over the Web-including Web pages, forms or applications.....allowing Trimark representatives to respond to Web requests and telephone calls from within a common queue on their desktops and provide a consistent, unified face to their customers to their customers......visitors to Trimarks' Financial Advisers Web site can click on a "Call Me Now" button.....they can co-navigate the Web, securely share electronic documents....").

Regarding system claims 9-13, and 16, and a computer program readable medium claims 17-21, and 24, their limitations correspond to the limitations of method claims 1-5, and 8 and are therefore analyzed and rejected using the same rationale.

2. Claims 6-7, 14-15, and 22-23 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest using communication options consisting of video clips and streaming video.